An Unfolding World: Prehistoric Life in the Middle East

Section 1: The Land and the Timeline: A Stage for Prehistory

The story of human prehistory in the Middle East is inextricably linked to its geography. Far from being a mere backdrop, the region's diverse and dynamic landscape was an active agent in shaping the complex mosaic of cultures that rose, flourished, and transformed over millennia. From the lush river valleys that became the world's first agricultural heartlands to the forbidding mountains and arid deserts that were at once barriers and corridors, the environment presented both challenges and opportunities that spurred innovation, interaction, and the very development of civilization. Understanding this deep past requires navigating not only a vast geographical stage but also a complex chronology, a tapestry of overlapping periods and regional sequences that defy any simple, linear narrative of human progress. The journey from the earliest hominin occupants to the dawn of history was not a single march but a series of distinct, yet interconnected, regional histories.

1.1 The Geographic Mosaic: From the Fertile Crescent to the Arid Peninsula

The Middle East occupies a unique and strategic position at the junction of Africa, Asia, and Europe, a geographical crossroads that has facilitated the movement of peoples, goods, and ideas since the very first hominin dispersals.[1] The region's topography is one of stark contrasts. It is home to some of the world's most productive agricultural lands, cradled by the great river systems of the Nile, Tigris, and Euphrates.[1] The annual flooding of these rivers deposited rich, fertile soil that enabled the development of agriculture and supported the rise of the world's first urban cultures, such as the Sumerians in Mesopotamia around 6,000 years ago.[1] This arc of arable land, famously termed the "Fertile Crescent" by Egyptologist James Henry Breasted, stretches from the Persian Gulf through modern Iraq, Syria, Lebanon, Israel, Palestine, and Turkey.[1]

This fertile core is ringed by other formative landscapes. The rolling hills of Anatolia (modern Turkey) and the vast Iranian Plateau are defined by formidable mountain ranges like the Taurus, Zagros, and Elburz.[1] These mountains often served as natural barriers, fostering

distinct cultural trajectories by isolating populations.[1] The Taurus Mountains, for instance, separated Anatolia from the rest of Asia, while the Zagros range created a sheltered, rugged homeland for the peoples of the Iranian Plateau.[1] At the same time, these highlands were rich in resources absent from the alluvial plains, including timber, obsidian, and metal ores, making them vital nodes in nascent trade networks.[6]

Beyond the fertile zones lie vast deserts, most notably the great expanse of the Arabian Peninsula. While seemingly inhospitable, these arid lands were not empty voids. By the eighth millennium BCE, as the climate shifted and former savanna-like grasslands turned to desert, the peninsula was populated by groups migrating from the Levant.[8] These desert corridors were traversed by nomadic pastoralists, and the coasts became hubs of maritime activity. As early as 5000 BCE, settlements appeared in Qatar, and its inhabitants used flint tools similar to those in the Levant while also importing Ubaid-style painted pottery from southern Mesopotamia via sea trade.[8]

The conventional view of the "Fertile Crescent" as a single, monolithic "cradle of civilization" is thus an oversimplification. The archaeological record reveals a more nuanced reality: a dynamic interplay between core fertile zones and specialized peripheral regions. The resource poverty of one area, such as Mesopotamia's lack of metal and high-quality stone, drove interaction with others, like the ore-rich mountains of Anatolia and Iran or the obsidian sources of Turkey.[7] This interdependence meant that isolation and interaction were two sides of the same coin. The geographical mosaic of the Middle East was therefore not a passive stage but an active engine of cultural development, creating a system of distinct regional identities that were nonetheless locked in a necessary and often transformative dialogue through migration, trade, and the exchange of ideas.

1.2 A Deep Past: Unraveling the Chronology of the Prehistoric Middle East

The timeline of the prehistoric Middle East is as complex as its geography. Establishing a universally accepted, absolute chronology for the entire region remains a significant scholarly challenge.[8] While scientific methods like radiocarbon dating provide a framework, their precision is often insufficient for fine-grained historical alignment. Consequently, much of the chronology is relative, with archaeologists often relying on systems like the "Middle Chronology," which anchors dates to the well-documented reign of King Hammurabi of Babylon (c. 1792–1750 BCE) for later periods.[8] For the vast expanse of prehistory, chronology is primarily built upon sequences of material culture.

The overarching prehistoric periods are broadly defined as the Paleolithic (Old Stone Age), Epipaleolithic or Mesolithic (Middle Stone Age), Neolithic (New Stone Age), and Chalcolithic (Copper-Stone Age).[2] However, the specific timelines, cultural labels, and key transitions vary dramatically by region, reflecting the diverse developmental pathways fostered by the landscape.

- The **Paleolithic** stretches from the earliest hominin arrivals over 1.5 million years ago to the end of the last Ice Age around 20,000 BCE.[2]
- The **Epipaleolithic** (c. 20,000–9,500 BCE) is a transitional period of hunter-gatherers adapting to a warming world. In the Levant, it is famously defined by the Kebaran and later the Natufian cultures.[2] In the Zagros mountains of Mesopotamia, the contemporary culture is known as the Zarzian.
- The **Neolithic** (c. 9,500–4,500 BCE) witnessed the momentous shift to agriculture and settled life. In the Levant, this period is divided into the Pre-Pottery Neolithic A and B (PPNA/PPNB) and the later Pottery Neolithic.[2] In northern Mesopotamia, the same era is characterized by a sequence of distinct ceramic cultures: the Hassuna, Samarra, and Halaf.[12]
- The **Chalcolithic** (c. 5,200–3,300 BCE) is marked by the introduction of copper metallurgy. This period is associated with the Ubaid culture in Mesopotamia, which spread widely across the region, and the Ghassulian culture in the southern Levant.[2]

This regional variation highlights a crucial concept: the "end of prehistory" was not a singular event but a rolling horizon. The transition to the historical era, defined by the advent of writing, occurred at different times in different places. It began in southern Mesopotamia around 3300 BCE with the invention of Sumerian cuneiform script, a tool developed to manage an increasingly complex economy.[14] While southern Mesopotamia entered "history," its neighbors in Anatolia, the Levant, and the Iranian Plateau remained non-literate, and thus technically "prehistoric," for another millennium or more.

This staggered development created a fundamental power asymmetry. The literate core in Mesopotamia could organize state-level societies, document complex long-distance trade, and build empires.[3] The "Uruk Expansion" of the late 4th millennium BCE, which saw Mesopotamian cultural material and practices spread deep into Syria and Anatolia, can be understood as a direct consequence of this disparity. The prehistoric peoples of the highlands were interacting with a society that had already crossed the historical threshold. Therefore, after 3300 BCE, the very concept of "prehistory" in the Middle East becomes relative and relational, defined by a society's proximity to and interaction with the literate core. The following table provides a comparative overview of these complex regional timelines, which will serve as a reference throughout this report.

Table 1: Comparative Chronology of the Prehistoric Middle East

Period	Approx. Dates (BCE)	Levant	Mesopotamia (North/South)	Anatolia	Iranian Plateau	Arabian Peninsula	Key Developments & Symbolic Artifacts
Lower Paleolithic	1,850,000–200,000	Acheulean culture (Ubeidiya, Gesher	Acheulean tools near Mosul.	Acheulean tools (Gediz River,	Acheulean sites (Kashafrud, Ganj Par).	---	Hominin dispersal from Africa;

		Benot Ya'akov).		Kaletepe).			hand axes; controlled fire use; earliest figurine (Venus of Berekhat Ram). [2]
Middle Paleolithic	250,000–48,000	Mousterian culture; Neanderthals (Kebara) & AMH (Skhul, Qafzeh).	Mousterian culture; Neanderthals (Shanidar Cave).	Mousterian culture; Neanderthals & AMH (Ulukoy Cave).	Mousterian culture; Neanderthals (Bisitun Cave).	Hunter-gatherer presence.	Levallois tool technique; symbolic burials (Shanidar); early long-distance obsidian trade. [2]
Upper Paleolithic	48,000–20,000	Ahmarian & Levantine Aurignacian cultures.	Baradostian culture.	Scarcely documented.	Baradostian & Rostamian cultures (Pebdeh Cave).	---	Blade/bladelet tools; personal adornment (shell beads, pendants); mobile foraging groups. [18]
Epipaleolithic	20,000–9,500	Kebaran & Natufian cultures (Ain Mallaha).	Zarzian culture (Zawi Chemi).	Epipaleolithic sites (Belbaşı, Beldibi caves).	Zarzian culture.	Hunter-gatherer societies (tanged arrowheads).	Microlithic tools; bow and arrow; pre-agricultural sedentism (Natufian); human & animal figurines. [11]
Neolithic	9,500–4,500	PPNA/B (Jericho, 'Ain Ghazal);	Pre-Pottery Neolithic villages (Qermez	PPNA/B (Göbekli Tepe, Çayönü);	Aceramic Neolithic (Ganj Dareh);	First coastal settlements; early	Domestication of plants/animals;

		Pottery Neolithic (Yarmukian).	Dere); Pottery Neolithic (Hassuna, Samarra, Halaf cultures).	Pottery Neolithic (Çatalhöyük, Hacılar).	Pottery Neolithic (Cheshmeh Ali).	pottery production.	monumental architecture (towers, temples); plastered skulls; wall paintings. [20]
Chalcolithic	5,200–3,300	Ghassulian culture (Teleilat Ghassul).	Ubaid & Uruk periods.	Chalcolithic sites (Beycesultan, Arslantepe).	Chalcolithic sites (Chogha Mish, Godin Tepe).	Ubaid pottery trade; Dilmun culture; copper mining.	Copper metallurgy; wheel & plow; potter's wheel; social stratification; prestige goods (Nahal Mishmar hoard); precursors to writing (seals, tokens). [9]

Section 2: The Long Journey: Hominin Life in the Paleolithic (c. 1.85 million – 20,000 BCE)

The Paleolithic period in the Middle East represents a vast and foundational chapter in the human story, spanning over 1.5 million years. During this immense stretch of time, the region was not merely a crossroads for migrating populations but a crucial theater for hominin evolution, adaptation, and interaction. From the first archaic humans who carried Acheulean toolkits out of Africa to the sophisticated cognitive and social worlds of the Neanderthals and the first anatomically modern humans who succeeded them, the Paleolithic archaeological record reveals a deep and complex history of life, innovation, and survival against the backdrop of dramatic Pleistocene climate change.

2.1 The First Footsteps: Lower Paleolithic Dispersals and Acheulean

Toolkits

The story of humanity in the Middle East begins with the dispersal of early hominins from their African homeland. The Levant, with its Sinai land bridge, served as a primary corridor for this expansion into Eurasia.[2] The earliest well-documented traces of this migration are found at the site of Ubeidiya in the Jordan Valley, where archaeological deposits have been dated to between 1.5 and 1.2 million years ago.[2] The stone tools recovered from Ubeidiya are characteristic of the Acheulean industry, a technological tradition defined by its signature bifacial hand axes, picks, and chopping tools, which first appeared in East Africa around 1.76 million years ago.[2] This firmly places the inhabitants of Ubeidiya within the broader world of early
Homo erectus or related species.

These early hominins were far more capable than once imagined. The site of Gesher Benot Ya'akov (GBY) in Israel, dated to around 790,000 years ago, offers a remarkable window into their lives. The tool assemblage at GBY belongs to a "Large Flake" Acheulean tradition, demonstrating advanced knapping skills.[2] Beyond tools, the site has yielded evidence for the systematic butchery of large mammals like elephants, the collection and cracking of seven different types of nuts, and one of the earliest scientifically verified examples of the controlled use of fire.[2] The discovery of a polished wooden plank at GBY stands as the earliest known wooden artifact, hinting at a wider range of material culture that has not survived.[2]

This hominin presence was not confined to the Levant. A stone tool found in the Gediz River in Anatolia has been securely dated to 1.2 million years ago, attesting to an equally ancient occupation of that peninsula.[10] Further east, the Iranian Plateau was also colonized, with sites like Kashafrud and Ganj Par yielding Acheulean lithics that signal the eastward expansion of these early populations.[16] Perhaps most tantalizing is the discovery from Berekhat Ram in the Golan Heights of a small volcanic pebble, dated to between 800,000 and 233,000 years ago, that appears to have been artificially modified to resemble a female form. This object, often called the "Venus of Berekhat Ram," remains controversial but represents one of the world's earliest, albeit tentative, hints of symbolic thought.[2]

The vast timescale of the Acheulean occupation, spanning well over a million years, combined with evidence for technological refinement and complex behaviors, demonstrates that the Middle East was far more than a simple transit route. It was a dynamic region where hominin populations settled for immense periods, adapting to its diverse environments from coastal plains to desert oases. The evidence from sites like GBY, with its organized use of space and controlled fire, points to stable, long-term regional populations, not just transient groups passing through. The region must be viewed as an evolutionary crucible in its own right, a secondary heartland where crucial cognitive and behavioral milestones were achieved long before the arrival of our own species.

2.2 Neanderthals and Early Modern Humans: The Middle Paleolithic

World (c. 250,000 – 48,000 BCE)

The Middle Paleolithic period was a time of profound significance, defined by the appearance of new hominin species and more sophisticated technologies. The dominant tool industry of this era was the Mousterian, characterized by the Levallois technique—a prepared-core method that allowed for the production of flakes of a predetermined size and shape. Mousterian sites are numerous across the Middle East, found in caves and open-air locations from the Mediterranean coast to the Zagros Mountains.[2]

The most critical aspect of this period in the Middle East is that it was a stage for both Neanderthals and anatomically modern humans (AMH). The Levant, in particular, provides clear evidence of a long period of overlap. Cave sites such as Kebara, Amud, and Tabun have yielded Neanderthal remains, while the nearby sites of Skhul, Qafzeh, and Misliya have produced some of the earliest AMH fossils found outside of Africa.[2] Critically, both species appear to have used a similar Mousterian toolkit, blurring technological distinctions between them.

Further east, the Zagros Mountains of Iraq and Iran were a primary habitat for Neanderthals.[25] The Shanidar Cave in Iraqi Kurdistan is a site of world importance, having yielded the remains of ten Neanderthals that offer unparalleled insights into their behavior.[12] The famous "flower burial" of Shanidar IV, where pollen analysis suggested the body was laid on a bed of flowers, points to symbolic ritual. Even more compelling is the skeleton of Shanidar I, an older male who had survived multiple severe injuries, including a crushing blow to the head that would have blinded him in one eye, a withered arm that had been amputated, and significant leg and foot injuries. His survival for years with these disabilities strongly implies he was cared for by his social group, a clear sign of empathy and solidarity.[12]

The capabilities of Middle Paleolithic peoples are also being re-evaluated in light of new discoveries. At Ulukoy Cave in southeastern Turkey, archaeologists have found obsidian tools dating back as far as 160,000 to 200,000 years ago.[17] The sourcing of this obsidian to distant volcanic outcrops indicates that complex, long-distance procurement and exchange networks were in place far earlier than previously thought, during a time when Neanderthals were the primary occupants of the region.

This evidence from the Middle East complicates the traditional, linear narrative of human evolution in which AMH simply replaced their supposedly less advanced Neanderthal cousins. The data suggests a more complex picture of overlap, potential interaction, and shared or analogous behaviors. The symbolic and social conduct implied at Shanidar and the complex trade networks evidenced at Ulukoy challenge the notion of a vast cognitive gap between the two groups. Recent genetic and climate modeling has even proposed that the Iranian Plateau may have served as a crucial population "hub" between 70,000 and 45,000 years ago, a place where migrating AMH could have settled for millennia and where the genetic admixture with resident Neanderthals, now confirmed by DNA studies, likely occurred.[31] The Middle East was thus a zone of convergence, not just succession. The period was less about a simple replacement and more about a complex, interwoven history of different human populations

whose cognitive and behavioral worlds may have been far more similar than once assumed.

2.3 Innovation and Symbolism: The Upper Paleolithic (c. 48,000 – 20,000 BCE)

The Upper Paleolithic marks the definitive arrival of anatomically modern humans as the sole hominin occupants of the region and is associated with a suite of technological and cultural innovations. In the Zagros Mountains, this period is represented by the Baradostian industry, a local culture analogous to the Aurignacian of Europe, which is characterized by the sophisticated production of tools from bone and antler, as well as new forms of blade and bladelet technology.[12]

This era witnessed a clear flourishing of symbolic expression, a so-called "human revolution." At Shanidar Cave, the Upper Paleolithic layers contain numerous pendants made from perforated red deer canines and marine shells that must have been traded from the distant coast, indicating a growing importance of personal adornment and social identity. This shift towards portable art and decoration suggests a new focus on defining the individual and the group through material culture.

Recent research on the Iranian Plateau is revealing that the "Upper Paleolithic Revolution" was not a uniform event but a mosaic of regional adaptations. At sites like Pebdeh Cave in the southern Zagros, archaeologists have identified a "Zagros Initial Upper Paleolithic" (IUP) industry dating to around 42,000–40,000 years ago.[18] This industry is fascinating because it is transitional, mixing older Middle Paleolithic Levallois techniques with new Upper Paleolithic blade production methods. This is widely interpreted as the archaeological signature of the expansion of
Homo sapiens into the region, adapting their technological repertoire as they went.[18]

Despite these cultural and technological innovations, the settlement patterns of the Upper Paleolithic remained those of small, highly mobile bands of foragers. The populations inhabiting the rugged landscapes of the Zagros and Iranian Plateau were likely small and lived well below the environmental carrying capacity, adapting to the harsh, cold climatic conditions of the Late Pleistocene.[28] There was no immediate demographic boom associated with the arrival of modern humans. The "revolution" was primarily cognitive and cultural, not demographic. It played out differently across the diverse ecological and social contexts of the Middle East, leading to a patchwork of distinct Upper Paleolithic cultures rather than a single, overarching one.

Section 3: The Great Transition: The Epipaleolithic and the Dawn of Sedentism (c. 20,000 – 9,500 BCE)

The Epipaleolithic period, spanning the end of the last Ice Age and the beginning of the

Holocene, represents one of the most critical turning points in human history. It was during this era that hunter-gatherer societies in the Levant began to experiment with a new way of life, setting in motion the processes that would culminate in the Neolithic Revolution. The Natufian culture, in particular, stands as a testament to the remarkable innovations of this period. These "complex hunter-gatherers" established the world's first settled villages, developed new social structures, and created a rich symbolic world, all *before* the advent of agriculture. This period reveals that the social and ideological groundwork for our modern world was laid not by farmers, but by foragers who chose to put down roots.

3.1 The Natufian Phenomenon: Pre-Agricultural Villages and Complex Foragers

The Epipaleolithic in the Levant begins with the Kebaran culture (c. 20,000 BCE), characterized by mobile bands of hunter-gatherers who developed a sophisticated toolkit of microliths—small, geometric stone bladelets likely used as barbs for arrows and other composite tools.[2] But it is the culture that succeeded them, the Natufian (c. 15,000/12,500–9,500 BCE), that marks a revolutionary departure.[11] The Natufians were unusual, perhaps unique, in that they established sedentary or semi-sedentary communities long before the domestication of plants and animals.[11]

Natufian settlements were significantly larger and more permanent than any that had come before. A core area of settlement has been identified in modern-day Israel and Palestine, with key sites like Ain Mallaha (Eynan) and El-Wad Cave, but the culture's influence extended into Jordan, Lebanon, and Syria.[11] At Ain Mallaha, archaeologists uncovered the remains of substantial, semi-subterranean round houses built with stone foundations, clear evidence of a settled lifestyle.[11] These communities were supported by the intensive exploitation of the rich Levantine woodland environment, which at the time was far lusher than it is today.[11] They hunted gazelle with great efficiency and, crucially, engaged in the large-scale harvesting of wild cereals like barley and wheat. The appearance of flint sickle blades, bearing a characteristic "sickle-gloss" from the silica in plant stems, is direct evidence of this intensive grain collection.

This commitment to a settled life is further reflected in their material culture. The Natufians produced heavy-duty grinding tools, such as large stone mortars and pestles, which would have been impractical for mobile groups to transport.[32] They also created the first known food storage pits, suggesting a managed economy based on surplus.[32] Furthermore, they established formal cemeteries, often with elaborate burials, indicating a new, more structured relationship with place, ancestry, and the deceased.[32]

The Natufian case fundamentally challenges the traditional deterministic model that agriculture was the necessary prerequisite for sedentism. The evidence strongly suggests the opposite: Natufians chose to settle down first, drawn to resource-rich locations. This sedentism was a social choice, perhaps driven by the desire to remain near significant places

like ancestral burial grounds.[32] This new lifestyle, however, created new pressures. Larger, settled populations became dependent on the wild resources in their immediate vicinity. When the climate suddenly shifted with the onset of the Younger Dryas (a cold, dry period around 10,800 BCE), these resources became stressed, threatening the Natufian way of life.[11] Faced with this crisis, some groups may have returned to a more mobile existence, as suggested by smaller Late Natufian sites. Others, however, appear to have innovated. At Tell Abu Hureyra in Syria, there is evidence for the deliberate cultivation of rye during this period, suggesting that agriculture was developed as a solution to sustain a preferred sedentary lifestyle under new environmental pressures.[11] Sedentism, therefore, appears to have been the cause, and agriculture the effect.

3.2 Art and Identity in the Epipaleolithic

The new social world of the Natufians was accompanied by a flourishing of artistic and symbolic expression. Their art was personal, portable, and focused on the human and animal form in a way that suggests a growing concern with individual and community identity. They produced small, carved figurines from bone, stone, and ivory.[33] Animal figurines, depicting creatures like gazelles, bears, or wildcats, likely held symbolic meaning related to spiritual beliefs or the totemic importance of certain animals to the group.[33]

Human figurines are particularly revealing. They range from naturalistic depictions to highly stylized or schematic forms. Some, like the famous "Ain Sakhri Lovers" figurine from a cave near Bethlehem, are interpreted as showing a human couple in an embrace, while others may depict human-animal hybrids, hinting at shamanistic beliefs in transformation or a deep animistic connection to the natural world.

Personal adornment was also widespread. Burials are frequently found with intricate arrangements of dentalium shells, bone beads, and stone pendants, often fashioned into headdresses, necklaces, and bracelets. This careful placement of ornaments on the deceased indicates a strong sense of personal identity that was maintained even in death, and likely signaled social status or group affiliation during life.

This artistic explosion marks a significant cognitive and social shift. While much Paleolithic art was hidden away in the depths of caves and focused on large game animals, Natufian art was brought into the sphere of daily life. It was portable, personal, and centered on the human form. The emergence of this new artistic tradition alongside the first settled villages and formal cemeteries suggests that the process of settling down was intertwined with developing new ways of thinking about the self, the community, and one's place in the cosmos. Material culture—in the form of art and adornment—became a primary medium for negotiating and expressing these new identities.

Section 4: The Neolithic Revolution: Building a New

World (c. 9,500 – 4,500 BCE)

The Neolithic period ushered in a series of transformations so profound that they have been rightly termed a "revolution." This was not a single event, but a cascade of interconnected developments in subsistence, technology, social organization, and symbolic life that fundamentally remade the human world. The shift from foraging to farming, which began in the Fertile Crescent, was interwoven with the construction of the first large-scale settlements and unprecedented monumental architecture. This new world was expressed and consolidated through powerful new art forms, from intimate portraits of the ancestors to grand public statements of communal belief. The Neolithic was the period in which the foundational structures of settled, civilized life were built.

4.1 The Seeds of Change: The Domestication of Plants and Animals

The Neolithic Revolution is defined by the wide-scale transition from a hunting-and-gathering lifestyle to one based on agriculture and settlement.[20] This process, which began in the Fertile Crescent between 10,000 and 8,000 BCE, was gradual, involving millennia of observation and experimentation with wild species.[20]

The first plants to be domesticated in West Asia were the "founder crops," a suite of eight species that formed the backbone of the new agricultural economy. These included cereals like einkorn wheat, emmer wheat, and barley, and pulses such as lentils, peas, and chickpeas.[20] Archaeological evidence from sites like Tell Aswad in Syria shows domesticated emmer wheat was being cultivated as early as 10,800 years before present (BP), while Jericho in the Jordan valley has yielded some of the earliest domesticated barley. This was a conscious process of selection, as farmers favored plants with desirable traits like larger seeds and stalks that did not shatter upon ripening, making them easier to harvest.[20]

At the same time, the shift to a sedentary life made it more efficient to keep animals close at hand rather than constantly hunting them. This led to the domestication of sheep, goats, pigs, and cattle, all of which were native to the region.[6] The process of domestication is archaeologically visible through changes in animal skeletons found at Neolithic sites. For example, analysis of faunal remains from Gritille in the Euphrates valley shows a gradual reduction in the size of animals like cattle and pigs compared to their wild ancestors, a hallmark of selective breeding for more manageable, docile livestock.[6]

This new food-producing economy had far-reaching consequences. It allowed for the production of a food surplus, which could be stored in specially built granaries, providing a buffer against lean times and fueling population growth.[20] However, this process was a two-way street. The act of domestication was not a simple human mastery over nature, but a complex co-evolutionary relationship that transformed both the domesticators and the domesticated. Humans became tethered to the annual rhythms of the agricultural calendar and the constant needs of their herds. This new way of life brought new challenges. An

increased reliance on a limited number of crops made communities more vulnerable to catastrophic famine if a harvest failed.[20] Furthermore, living in dense, permanent settlements created novel problems of sanitation and waste disposal, and the close proximity to other people and to livestock facilitated the rapid spread of infectious diseases.[34] While humans domesticated plants and animals, these species, in a sense, also "domesticated" humans, locking them into a sedentary, agrarian lifeway that would drive social and technological innovation for millennia to come.

4.2 The Birth of the Sacred and the Communal: Monumental Architecture

The Neolithic was not only an agricultural revolution but also a revolution in how humans conceived of and built their world. For the first time, communities organized to construct monumental structures that required immense, coordinated labor, reflecting new forms of social organization and shared belief.

4.2.1 Göbekli Tepe: The World's First Temple?

Perhaps the most astonishing prehistoric site in the world, Göbekli Tepe in southeastern Anatolia, has radically overturned our understanding of the rise of civilization. Dated to between 9,600 and 8,200 BCE, it consists of a series of large, circular and rectangular enclosures defined by massive, T-shaped megalithic pillars.[21] These pillars, some standing over 5.5 meters tall and weighing up to 50 tons, were quarried and shaped from the local limestone plateau using only flint and stone tools.[37] Many are intricately carved with high and low reliefs of wild animals—lions, foxes, gazelles, snakes, scorpions, and vultures—as well as abstract symbols.[10] Some of the T-pillars are clearly anthropomorphic, with abstract depictions of arms, hands, and loincloths, suggesting they represent stylized human or divine beings.[35]

The most revolutionary fact about Göbekli Tepe is who built it. The faunal remains at the site are exclusively from wild animals, and there is no evidence for domesticated plants.[37] This means this monumental complex was erected by hunter-gatherers, centuries before the widespread adoption of agriculture and settled village life. The sheer scale of the undertaking implies a level of social organization, planning, and craft specialization previously thought impossible for foraging societies.[35]

The site's purpose was undoubtedly ritualistic, and it is often referred to as the "world's first temple".[35] Its existence fundamentally inverts the traditional model of civilization's origins. The long-held theory was that agriculture led to food surpluses, which allowed for sedentism and social complexity, which in turn enabled the construction of monumental religious architecture. Göbekli Tepe suggests the opposite was true. The immense, coordinated effort

to build this sacred center appears to have been the
catalyst for social complexity. The need to gather, house, and feed hundreds of workers for a shared ideological project would have created intense pressure to manage local resources more efficiently, providing a powerful incentive for the eventual domestication of plants and animals. As excavator Klaus Schmidt and Stanford archaeologist Ian Hodder have argued, it appears that sociocultural change, driven by a powerful shared religion, came first, and the agricultural revolution followed.[39]

4.2.2 Jericho: Walls, a Tower, and the Ancestors

While the hunter-gatherers of Anatolia were building Göbekli Tepe, one of the world's first towns was taking shape in the Levant. The settlement of Jericho, located at a bountiful oasis in the Jordan Valley, is one of the oldest continuously inhabited places on Earth, with its origins stretching back to a hunter-gatherer camp around 9000 BCE.[22] By about 8000 BCE, during the Pre-Pottery Neolithic A (PPNA), Jericho had grown into an organized community of some 2,000–3,000 people who were capable of a remarkable feat of engineering: the construction of a massive stone wall, at least 4 meters high and 2 meters thick, encircling their settlement.[14] This wall was reinforced by a huge stone tower, 8.5 meters tall and 9 meters in diameter at its base, containing a steep internal staircase of 22 steps leading to the top.[42]
The purpose of these monumental fortifications has been the subject of intense debate. Early interpretations naturally saw them as military defenses, the earliest ever discovered.[22]
However, later scholars noted that the tower was built
inside the wall, a tactically poor position for defense, and that there is little other evidence for organized warfare during this period. Alternative theories proposed that the walls were built as a defense against seasonal flash floods from the nearby wadis.[45]
More recent research has shifted focus to social and ritual functions. Archaeologists Ran Barkai and Roy Liran used computer modeling to show that on the summer solstice, the shadow of the nearby Mount of Temptation would have struck the tower at sunset before engulfing the rest of the town.[42] They propose that the tower was an astro-archaeological marker, a way of connecting the community to the cosmos and the seasonal cycles crucial for their nascent agricultural practices. In this view, the tower was a symbol of power and communal identity, a monument built to inspire awe and motivate people to participate in the new, more demanding lifestyle of a settled, agricultural community.[43]
Regardless of its primary function, the Tower of Jericho can be understood as a form of "social technology." Its construction required an enormous investment of organized labor—an estimated 11,000 working days.[42] This act of building, in itself, served to forge a collective identity and establish new systems of social organization. Whether it was meant to defend, impress, or track the sun, the tower was a physical manifestation of the community's ability to act in concert, a powerful statement of social cohesion and control that was a crucial step on the path to urbanism.

4.2.3 Çatalhöyük: A City Without Streets

Another extraordinary Neolithic settlement, Çatalhöyük in southern Anatolia, offers a different model of early urban life. Occupied from approximately 7400 to 5200 BCE, this large town was home to several thousand people.[46] Its most distinctive feature is its unique architecture. The settlement was a dense, honeycomb-like cluster of rectangular, mud-brick houses built back-to-back with no streets or public alleyways.[23] Movement through the town was conducted across the flat rooftops, which served as the communal and social spaces. Access to the houses was via an opening in the ceiling, with inhabitants descending a wooden ladder into the main living area.[23]

The houses themselves followed a remarkably consistent plan, with a large central room for domestic activities and smaller side rooms for storage.[23] The dead were not buried in a separate cemetery but were interred under the plaster platforms within the house where the living slept and worked.[23] When a house reached the end of its use-life, it was partially demolished and a new house was built directly on top of its foundations, creating the deep archaeological mound, or
tell, that exists today.[47]

The layout of Çatalhöyük suggests a profoundly different social organization from later cities. The lack of palaces, large temples, or administrative buildings, combined with the relatively uniform size of the houses, points to a largely egalitarian society, organized around the household and ideals of community rather than a centralized, hierarchical authority.[46]

At Çatalhöyük, the house was the absolute center of the material, social, and ritual world. The entire lifecycle—from daily tasks like cooking, to social interaction on the roof, to the burial of ancestors under the floor—was contained within the domestic sphere. The continuous rebuilding of houses on the same spot created a powerful physical and genealogical link to the past, with the ancestors literally forming the foundation of the home. This house-centric worldview, where the domestic unit was the primary locus of all aspects of life, stands in stark contrast to later societies that externalized religious, political, and mortuary functions into public temples, palaces, and cemeteries.

4.3 The Art of the Neolithic: Wall Paintings, Plastered Skulls, and the 'Ain Ghazal Statues

The new social and economic realities of the Neolithic were reflected and reinforced by an explosion of symbolic and artistic expression. This art represents a powerful new step in human cognition: the materialization of abstract concepts like ancestry, community identity, and the divine.

The interior walls of the houses at Çatalhöyük were frequently covered in white plaster and decorated with vibrant murals.[50] These paintings depict a rich symbolic world, including dynamic hunting scenes, geometric patterns, and striking ritual imagery, such as giant

vultures hovering over headless human corpses.[41] This latter scene is thought to be connected to the burial practices of the time, which may have involved excarnation (the removal of flesh) before the bones were interred.[48] One famous painting is widely interpreted as the world's first map or landscape, showing the honeycomb layout of the town with a depiction of the nearby twin-peaked volcano, Hasan Dağ, in eruption.[50] These murals turned the home into a canvas for displaying shared myths, rituals, and social values.

A more intimate connection with the past is evident in the practice of creating plastered human skulls. Found at Pre-Pottery Neolithic B sites across the Levant, including Jericho, 'Ain Ghazal, and Tell Ramad (c. 8000–6000 BCE), these objects are among the most evocative artifacts from prehistory.[52] After death, the cranium of certain individuals was detached from the jaw and the rest of the skeleton. The skull was then covered in plaster, which was carefully modeled to recreate the facial features of the deceased.[52] Cowrie shells were often pressed into the eye sockets, and traces of paint suggest that details like hair and mustaches were added.[52] These skulls were then buried in pits beneath the floors of houses.[53] This practice is widely interpreted as a form of ancestor veneration. It was not just a way to dispose of the dead, but a ritual act to keep the ancestors physically present within the home, giving them a "face" and integrating them into the daily lives of the living, thereby reinforcing concepts of lineage and social continuity. Modern 3D reconstructions of the Jericho Skull have revealed that the individual was an older male whose head had been artificially shaped by binding it in infancy, suggesting he may have been a person of special status.[55]

Taking this materialization of the human form to a grander scale are the 'Ain Ghazal statues. Discovered in Jordan and dating to between 7200 and 6250 BCE, these are among the earliest large-scale sculptures of the human form ever found.[58] Made from lime plaster molded over a core of reeds and twigs, the statues stand up to a meter tall.[59] The assemblage includes full figures as well as busts, some of which are strikingly two-headed.[58] Their faces are highly stylized, with wide-open, bitumen-outlined eyes, but they lack detailed sexual characteristics.[58] The statues were not found in houses but were discovered carefully buried in large, separate pits, suggesting they were part of a community-wide ritual practice.[60] Their exact function is debated, with scholars proposing they could represent revered ancestors, ghosts, or even deities associated with a fertility cult. Whatever their specific meaning, their monumental size and communal burial context indicate they were powerful symbols meant to unite the entire settlement in a shared ideology.

Alongside this more formal art, Neolithic sites are replete with small, cursorily made clay figurines of animals, particularly horned animals like bulls and goats.[61] At 'Ain Ghazal, many of these figurines were found to have been ritually "killed"—stabbed with tiny flint bladelets while the clay was still wet, and then exposed to fire, likely in a domestic hearth.[61] These practices offer a glimpse into the everyday household rituals that complemented the more public ceremonies of the Neolithic world.

Section 5: The Age of Copper: The Chalcolithic and the

Rise of Complexity (c. 5,200 – 3,300 BCE)

The Chalcolithic period, or Copper-Stone Age, represents a critical transition between the Neolithic and the full-blown urbanism of the Bronze Age. It was an era of profound technological and social change, catalyzed by the discovery and adoption of metallurgy. The ability to smelt and work copper was not merely a new craft; it was a disruptive technology that reconfigured long-distance trade, created novel forms of wealth and property, and ultimately fostered the emergence of institutionalized social hierarchies. This period set the stage for the first city-states and empires by forging the social and economic tools of complexity.

5.1 From Stone to Metal: The Advent of Metallurgy and Its Social Impact

The defining feature of the Chalcolithic is the increasing use of smelted copper for tools, weapons, and ornaments, although stone tools remained in widespread use.[7] While the world's oldest securely dated evidence for high-temperature copper smelting comes from the Balkans (c. 5,000 BCE), the technology emerged at a very early date in the Near East as well.[7] Copper mining was taking place in the Timna Valley in the southern Levant as early as the 7th millennium BCE, and by 4200 BCE, smiths in eastern Anatolia, at sites like Norşuntepe, were producing arsenical copper, an early form of bronze that was harder and easier to cast.[7]

The introduction of metallurgy was accompanied by a suite of other technological breakthroughs that revolutionized daily life. The invention of the wheel and the plow transformed agriculture and land transport, while the potter's wheel allowed for the mass production of ceramics, and the sail facilitated long-distance maritime trade.[13]

The adoption of copper metallurgy appears to have been a "disruptive technology" in the modern sense of the term. It did not simply add a new material to the existing toolkit; it fundamentally reordered the economy. Evidence from the Tehran Plain in Iran shows that as copper tools became more common, the procurement of high-quality raw materials for stone tools, like obsidian, declined sharply. The highly specialized craft of flint-knapping, which had been honed over millennia, seems to have collapsed, replaced by the household-based production of simple stone tools from local materials.[7] The old experts and the Neolithic trade networks that supplied them with fine stone were rendered obsolete.

In its place, an entirely new and more complex economic system emerged. Metallurgy required specialized knowledge and a complex production chain (*chaîne opératoire*): prospecting for rare copper ores, mining them, developing the high-temperature furnace technology for smelting, and establishing long-distance trade routes to move both the raw materials and the finished metal goods.[7] Unlike flint or clay, which were relatively accessible, copper ore sources were localized. This created a new economic geography where certain

groups or settlements could monopolize the production and distribution of this valuable new commodity, paving the way for the accumulation of unprecedented wealth and power.

5.2 Expanding Horizons: Long-Distance Trade, Craft Specialization, and the First Elites

The Chalcolithic witnessed a dramatic intensification of long-distance trade, driven by the demand for metals and other prestige goods. Raw materials were transported over vast distances: copper ore was brought over 80 miles from mines in Wadi Feinan in Jordan to be processed at the industrial copper-working site of Shiqmim in Israel.[9] Obsidian from three distinct sources in Anatolia has been found at the Chalcolithic site of Gilat in the northern Negev, demonstrating a trade network that spanned hundreds of miles.

This period provides the first clear evidence for full-time craft specialization. The finds at Shiqmim, including ores, slags, crucibles, and tools, represent one of the earliest dedicated metallurgical workshops ever discovered.[9] The pinnacle of Chalcolithic craftsmanship is the Nahal Mishmar hoard, a breathtaking collection of over 400 copper objects that had been wrapped in a reed mat and hidden in a remote cave near the Dead Sea.[9] The hoard includes ornate mace heads, scepters, standards, and crowns, all displaying an astonishing mastery of the complex lost-wax casting method.[41]

These artifacts provide the first unambiguous material signature of institutionalized social inequality. Metallurgical analysis has revealed that the prestige objects from the Nahal Mishmar hoard and other sites were made from a high-arsenic copper alloy, which is more fluid when molten and thus better suited for intricate casting.[9] Crucially, this alloy is different from the simpler copper used to make everyday tools, such as those produced at Shiqmim. This indicates the existence of two distinct and separate metal industries: a local, utilitarian one for common tools, and a highly specialized, geographically separate one for producing exotic, high-status objects.[9]

Power was no longer just situational or charismatic; it was being forged in metal. The objects themselves—crowns and scepters—are not tools for subsistence but potent symbols of rank and authority. The fact that these items were imported to sites like Shiqmim indicates the presence of a local elite who participated in a long-distance exchange network specifically for these status symbols, a network separate from the trade in utilitarian goods. This dual-industry system is the archaeological footprint of a society splitting into a ruling class with exclusive access to the symbols and technologies of power, and a general populace using standard tools. This growing social stratification was also accompanied by an increase in conflict, evidenced by the appearance of the first fortified settlements.[13]

5.3 The Art of a Changing Society: Ghassulian Murals and Symbolic Pottery

The artistic expressions of the Chalcolithic reflect the social transformations of the period, showing a trend towards more complex, formalized symbolism and the production of prestige goods. At the type-site for the southern Levantine Chalcolithic, Teleilat Ghassul in the Jordan Valley, excavators discovered unique and enigmatic wall paintings.[13] These murals, painted on house walls, depict large, star-like motifs, processions of masked figures, and other complex scenes that may represent a more formalized mythology, perhaps controlled and interpreted by a nascent priestly class.[13]

Pottery production became more sophisticated with the widespread adoption of the potter's wheel, allowing for faster and more standardized manufacturing.[13] Distinctive regional styles of polychrome painted pottery flourished, with new forms appearing, such as fenestrated pedestal bowls, which may have been used for burning incense in rituals.[62] Anthropomorphic and zoomorphic figurines continued to be produced, likely serving as votive offerings in increasingly complex religious ceremonies.[19]

While Neolithic art was often created and displayed in a domestic or communal context, seemingly accessible to all households (as at Çatalhöyük), Chalcolithic art shows a clear divergence. Alongside communal forms like the Ghassulian murals, there was a new emphasis on rare and valuable prestige goods. Exquisite ivory carvings and other elaborate objects made from bone and imported materials were likely restricted to elite individuals and served as markers of status within the expanding trade networks.[19] This artistic shift mirrors the broader social changes of the era. As society stratified, so did its symbolic output. Art became another powerful tool for expressing, legitimizing, and reinforcing the power of the new elites that arose during the Chalcolithic, setting the stage for the royal arts of the Bronze Age.

Section 6: Synthesis and Legacy: From Foragers to the Brink of History

The journey through the prehistory of the Middle East reveals a narrative of immense depth and complexity, tracing the arc of human development from the earliest hominin wanderers to the sophisticated, stratified societies that stood on the brink of history. This was not a simple, linear march of progress, but a dynamic and contingent process, shaped by the region's diverse geography and punctuated by revolutionary innovations. The social, technological, and ideological structures forged over hundreds of thousands of years in the Paleolithic, Epipaleolithic, Neolithic, and Chalcolithic periods did not simply disappear; they formed the essential and direct foundation upon which the great historical civilizations of Mesopotamia, Egypt, and the Levant were built.

6.1 Major Trajectories in Technology, Society, and Belief

Synthesizing the vast timeline of Middle Eastern prehistory reveals several key long-term trajectories. The first and most fundamental is the shift from foraging to food production. This was not a simple economic decision but was driven by a complex interplay of environmental change, population pressure, and, crucially, pre-existing social and ideological commitments. The Natufians' choice to become sedentary *before* they became farmers demonstrates that the desire for a settled, communal life, anchored to a specific place, was a primary motivator, with agriculture emerging as the innovative solution to sustain that choice.[11]

This move to permanent settlements created new challenges of social organization, prompting a variety of solutions. The densely packed, egalitarian "city without streets" at Çatalhöyük represents one path, organized around the household as the center of all life.[23] The fortified town of Jericho, with its monumental tower, represents another, suggesting a more centralized community structure, perhaps organized for defense or communal ritual.[22] Technology in this new world was not merely for subsistence. The construction of monuments like Göbekli Tepe and the Jericho tower served as a "social technology" to organize labor, forge collective identity, and reinforce emerging social hierarchies.[39]

The evolution of symbolic expression charts a parallel course from the personal to the political. The portable art and personal adornment of the Epipaleolithic suggest a new focus on individual and small-group identity.[33] In the Neolithic, this impulse evolved into the materialization of abstract concepts crucial for larger communities. Plastered skulls and intramural burials made ancestry a tangible presence in the home, reinforcing lineage and social continuity.[52] Monumental statues and murals externalized and standardized community-wide beliefs, creating powerful symbols that could unite thousands of people in a shared ideology.[50] Finally, the Chalcolithic saw the development of prestige goods and elite-focused art, which served not to integrate the entire community, but to differentiate and legitimize a select few.[9] This reflects the gradual, then accelerating, move from the largely egalitarian social structures of the early Neolithic to the stratified societies with institutionalized elites that characterized the Chalcolithic.

6.2 The Prehistoric Foundations of Middle Eastern Civilizations

The historical civilizations of the Middle East did not spring into existence fully formed. They were erected upon the deep and complex foundations laid during the preceding millennia of prehistory. The connections are direct and undeniable.

The large, organized settlements of the Neolithic, such as Jericho and Çatalhöyük, and the increasingly hierarchical towns of the Chalcolithic were the direct demographic and social precursors to the great city-states of Sumer in the Early Bronze Age.[14] The economic engine that fueled the armies, bureaucracies, and monumental ziggurats of the Akkadian, Babylonian, and Assyrian empires was the agricultural surplus made possible by Neolithic domestication and perfected by Chalcolithic irrigation technologies.[1]

The administrative technologies that enabled state-level control were also born in prehistory.

The use of simple clay tokens and stamp seals in the Neolithic and Chalcolithic to mark property and control the storage and movement of goods was the direct forerunner of true writing.[15] The Sumerian cuneiform script, which marks the formal beginning of history, emerged around 3300 BCE from this long tradition of pictographic and administrative record-keeping, developed to manage an economy of ever-increasing scale and complexity.[14]
Finally, the ideological and religious structures of the historical world grew from prehistoric roots. The organized, communal rituals that compelled hunter-gatherers to build Göbekli Tepe, the powerful ancestor veneration that led Neolithic people to live with the dead beneath their floors, and the emerging specialized cults of the Chalcolithic all laid the groundwork for the complex, state-sponsored polytheistic religions of historic Mesopotamia and Egypt. The powerful priesthoods, elaborate mythologies, and monumental temples of the Bronze Age were the institutionalized heirs of a tradition of belief and ritual that was thousands of years old.
In conclusion, the prehistoric era in the Middle East was not a static prelude to history but was history in the making. The challenges of climate and geography, the revolutionary innovations in technology and subsistence, and the profound transformations in social structure and symbolic thought during the Stone and Copper Ages created the very template for civilization itself.

Works Cited

1. [14] Scaruffi, P. (n.d.). *A History of the Near East*. Retrieved from https://www.scaruffi.com/politics/neareast.html
2. [8] Department of Ancient Near Eastern Art. (2000, October). *The Arabian Peninsula, 8000–2000 B.C.* The Metropolitan Museum of Art. Retrieved from https://www.metmuseum.org/toah/ht/02/wap.html
3. [41] Oxford University Press. (n.d.). *Middle East Timeline*. Oxford Art Online. Retrieved from https://www.oxfordartonline.com/page/middle-east-timeline
4. [1] WGBH Educational Foundation. (n.d.). *Geography and the Fertile Crescent*. Global Connections: The Middle East. Retrieved from http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/globalconnections/mideast/themes/geography/index.html
5. [4] Wikipedia. (2025, June 30). *Ancient Near East*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ancient_Near_East
6. [3] University of Chicago. (n.d.). *The Fertile Crescent, explained*. UChicago News. Retrieved from https://news.uchicago.edu/explainer/fertile-crescent-explained
7. [5] Milwaukee Public Museum. (n.d.). *A Brief History of Iran*. Retrieved from

https://www.mpm.edu/index.php/research-collections/history/online-collections-research/iranian-ceramics/history
8. [12] Wikipedia. (2025, July 4). *Prehistory of Mesopotamia*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistory_of_Mesopotamia
9. [63] White-Levy Program for Archaeological Publications. (n.d.). *Iranian Plateau*. Harvard University. Retrieved from https://whitelevy.fas.harvard.edu/iranian-plateau
10. [11] Wikipedia. (2025, May 24). *Natufian culture*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Natufian_culture
11. [64] Henry, D. O. (1991). Hunters-Gatherers of the Levantine Epipalaeolithic: The Socioecological Origins of Sedentism. *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology*, 4(2). Retrieved from https://journal.equinoxpub.com/JMA/article/view/726
12. [32] Boyd, B. (2006). On 'sedentism' in the Later Epipalaeolithic (Natufian) Levant. *World Archaeology*, 38(2), 164-178. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/249007414_On_'sedentism'_in_the_Later_Epipalaeolithic_Natufian_Levant
13. [65] Davidovich, U., et al. (2023). *Sedimentological and chronological context of the Middle Epipaleolithic site of Neve David, Israel: a precursor of the Natufian culture*. EGU General Assembly 2023. Retrieved from https://meetingorganizer.copernicus.org/EGU25/EGU25-7912.html
14. [34] EBSCO. (n.d.). *Neolithic Revolution*. EBSCO Research Starters. Retrieved from https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/agriculture-and-agribusiness/neolithic-revolution
15. [20] Wikipedia. (2025, July 3). *Neolithic Revolution*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neolithic_Revolution
16. [6] Stein, G. (1989). Herding Strategies at Neolithic Gritille. *Expedition Magazine*, 31(2-3). Penn Museum. Retrieved from https://www.penn.museum/sites/expedition/herding-strategies-at-neolithic-gritille/
17. [13] Fiveable. (n.d.). *World Prehistory Unit 10 – Chalcolithic Era: Dawn of Metallurgy*. Retrieved from https://library.fiveable.me/world-prehistory/unit-10
18. [7] Wikipedia. (2025, May 22). *Chalcolithic*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chalcolithic
19. [62] Hirst, K. K. (2019, July 3). *Chalcolithic Period: The Beginnings of Copper Metallurgy*. ThoughtCo. Retrieved from https://www.thoughtco.com/chalcolithic-period-copper-mettalurgy-170474
20. [9] Levy, T. E. (1993). Craft Specialization First Appears in the Chalcolithic Period. *Biblical Archaeology Review*. Retrieved from

https://library.biblicalarchaeology.org/sidebar/craft-specialization-first-appears-in-the-chalcolithic-period/
21. [21] Brewminate. (2021, September 15). *Exploring Ancient Göbekli Tepe and the Dawn of Village Life in the Cradle of Civilization*. Retrieved from https://brewminate.com/exploring-ancient-gobekli-tepe-and-the-dawn-of-village-life-in-the-cradle-of-civilization/
22. [66] Kanopy. (2016). *Göbekli Tepe, Çatalhöyük, and Jericho*. Retrieved from https://www.kanopy.com/product/gobekli-tepe-catalhoyuek-and-jericho
23. [67] Dietrich, L., et al. (2020). The Neolithic Topography of Göbekli Tepe. *Istanbuler Mitteilungen*, 70, 7-21. Retrieved from https://publications.dainst.org/journals/istmitt/article/view/4708/8479
24. [68] Apple TV. (2020, June 1). *Göbekli Tepe, Çatalhöyük, and Jericho*. Archaeology: An Introduction to the World's Greatest Sites. Retrieved from https://tv.apple.com/gb/episode/g%C3%B6bekli-tepe-%C3%A7atalh%C3%B6y%C3%BCk-and-jericho/umc.cmc.1nyqezopm3sytoz0bnjgz4xb4
25. [69] Glencairn Museum. (2019, June 3). *Preparations for a Good Burial: Funerary Art in Glencairn's Ancient Egyptian Gallery*. Retrieved from https://www.glencairnmuseum.org/newsletter/2019/6/3/preparations-for-a-good-burial-funerary-art-in-glencairns-ancient-egyptian-gallery
26. [53] Batiuk, S. (2022, November 10). *Why ancient Mesopotamians buried their dead beneath the floor*. Psyche. Retrieved from https://psyche.co/ideas/why-ancient-mesopotamians-buried-their-dead-beneath-the-floor
27. [70] Wikipedia. (n.d.). *Ancient Egyptian funerary practices*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ancient_Egyptian_funerary_practices
28. [71] Richard, S. (2021). Levantine Archaeology. In *Oxford Bibliographies in Anthropology*. Oxford University Press. Retrieved from https://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/abstract/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0297.xml
29. [2] Wikipedia. (2025, June 28). *Prehistory of the Levant*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistory_of_the_Levant
30. [72] Wikipedia. (n.d.). *Levantine archaeology*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Levantine_archaeology
31. [35] UNESCO World Heritage Centre. (n.d.).

Göbekli Tepe. Retrieved from https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1572/

32. [37] Kuta, S. (2022, May 11). *Göbekli Tepe: The Mysterious Site Older Than Stonehenge*. History.com. Retrieved from https://www.history.com/articles/gobekli-tepe
33. [38] Wikipedia. (n.d.). *Göbekli Tepe*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/G%C3%B6bekli_Tepe
34. [40] Emiroglu, O. (2023, April 25). *Göbeklitepe: Why it is more important than the Pyramids*. Medium. Retrieved from https://medium.com/@omeremiroglu/g%C3%B6beklitepe-why-it-is-more-important-than-the-pyramids-f3a048bb8b6e
35. [39] Curry, A. (2008, November). *Gobekli Tepe: The World's First Temple?* Smithsonian Magazine. Retrieved from https://www.smithsonianmag.com/history/gobekli-tepe-the-worlds-first-temple-83613665/
36. [36] UNESCO. (2018). *Göbekli Tepe, Turkey*. Google Arts & Culture. Retrieved from https://artsandculture.google.com/story/g%C3%B6bekli-tepe-turkey-unesco/3wXxoXJ7tDcpLA?hl=en
37. [22] The Editors of Encyclopaedia Britannica. (2023, September 20). *Walls of Jericho*. Britannica. Retrieved from https://www.britannica.com/place/walls-of-Jericho
38. [42] Wikipedia. (n.d.). *Tower of Jericho*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tower_of_Jericho
39. [73] UNESCO World Heritage Centre. (n.d.). *Ancient Jericho/Tell es-Sultan*. Retrieved from https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1687/
40. [43] Madain Project. (n.d.). *Tower of Jericho*. Retrieved from https://madainproject.com/tower_of_jericho
41. [45] Perry, G. (2011, February 20). *The Beauty of Uncertainty, or Why the Neolithic Tower at Jericho has not been "Solved"*. The Girl Archaeologist's Blog. Retrieved from https://girlarchaeologist.wordpress.com/2011/02/20/the-beauty-of-uncertainty-or-why-the-neolithic-tower-at-jericho-has-not-been-%E2%80%9Csolved%E2%80%9D/
42. [44] Whitwam, R. (2023, April 14). *The Walls Of Jericho May Be A Biblical, And Deeply Fascinating, Lie*. IFLScience. Retrieved from https://www.iflscience.com/the-walls-of-jericho-may-be-a-biblical-and-deeply-fascinating-lie-68325
43. [23] Çatalhöyük Research Project. (n.d.). *Architecture*. Retrieved from https://www.catalhoyuk.com/site/architecture
44. [47] Weebly. (n.d.). *Layout of the City*. Çatalhöyük History. Retrieved from

https://catalhoyukhistory.weebly.com/layout-of-the-city.html
45. [48] Yus, Z. (2020, October 1). *Dwellings at Catalhoyuk*. Passion Blog. Retrieved from https://sites.psu.edu/zezyuspassionblog/2020/10/01/dwellings-at-catalhoyuk/
46. [46] UNESCO World Heritage Centre. (n.d.). *Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük*. Retrieved from https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1405/
47. [49] Tsoraki, C. (2017). Dynamic houses and communities at Çatalhöyük: a building biography approach to prehistoric social structure. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*, 27(4), 625-642. Retrieved from https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/cambridge-archaeological-journal/article/dynamic-houses-and-communities-at-catalhoyuk-a-building-biography-approach-to-prehistoric-social-structure/F9CA5CAEED7336DF57CF2E976D90B6ED
48. [74] TransAnatolie. (n.d.). *Palaeolithic/Epipalaeolithic Age of Anatolia Turkey*. Retrieved from https://www.transanatolie.com/english/turkey/anatolia/ancient%20cities/TAY/arcahoelogical_sites.htm
49. [27] Kuhn, S. L., et al. (2015). The Lower and Middle Paleolithic of the Göllü Dağ, Central Anatolia. *Anatolian Studies*, 65, 1-21. Retrieved from https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1179/2042458215Y.0000000020
50. [17] Anadolu Agency. (2025, July 3). *Paleolithic discoveries in Türkiye reveal earliest known human activity in northern Mesopotamia*. Retrieved from https://www.aa.com.tr/en/culture/paleolithic-discoveries-in-turkiye-reveal-earliest-known-human-activity-in-northern-mesopotamia/3619936
51. [10] Wikipedia. (2025, June 7). *Prehistory of Anatolia*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistory_of_Anatolia
52. [30] Kazinform. (2025, July 3). *Paleolithic discoveries in Türkiye reveal earliest known human activity in northern Mesopotamia*. Retrieved from https://qazinform.com/news/paleolithic-discoveries-in-trkiye-reveal-earliest-known-human-activity-in-northern-mesopotamia-973aab
53. [24] Wikipedia. (n.d.). *Acheulean*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Acheulean
54. [16] Wikipedia. (2025, January 17). *Palaeolithic Era in Iran*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Palaeolithic_Era_in_Iran
55. [28] Shoaee, M. J., et al. (2021). The Paleolithic of the Iranian Plateau: Hominin occupation history and implications for human dispersals across southern Asia. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*, 62, 101292. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350240414_The_Paleolithic_of_the_Iranian_Pl

ateau_Hominin_occupation_history_and_implications_for_human_dispersals_across_southern_Asia

56. [25] Shoaee, M. J., Vahdati Nasab, H., & Petraglia, M. D. (2021). *The Paleolithic of the Iranian Plateau: Hominin occupation history and implications for human dispersals across southern Asia*. Griffith University Research Repository. Retrieved from https://research-repository.griffith.edu.au/items/06ba77ae-3de7-44d8-92bd-b6fcff64708b
57. [75] Sadraei, A., Garazhian, O., & Sabori, H. (2021). First Evidence of Pleistocene Archaeology on the Neyshabur Plain and its Role in Reconstructing the Dispersal of Hominins on the Northeastern Iranian Plateau. *Archaeology, Ethnology & Anthropology of Eurasia*, 49(3), 3-12. Retrieved from https://journal.archaeology.nsc.ru/jour/article/view/1283
58. [31] Killgrove, K. (2024, April 3). *Early humans lived on 'Persian plateau' for 20,000 years after leaving Africa, study suggests*. Live Science. Retrieved from https://www.livescience.com/archaeology/early-humans-lived-on-persian-plateau-for-20000-years-after-leaving-africa-study-suggests
59. [18] Vahdati Nasab, H., et al. (2024). New evidence for the Zagros Initial Upper Paleolithic from Pebdeh Cave, Iran. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 12. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/earth-science/articles/10.3389/feart.2024.1352099/full
60. [76] The Metropolitan Museum of Art. (n.d.). *Ancient West Asian Art*. Retrieved from https://www.metmuseum.org/departments/ancient-west-asian-art
61. [77] LOUIS. (n.d.). *Approaches to Analyzing Art*. Exploring Art: A Global, Thematic Approach. Retrieved from https://louis.pressbooks.pub/exploringarts/chapter/approaches-to-analyzing-art/
62. [61] Schmandt-Besserat, D. (n.d.). *Animal Figurines*. 'Ain Ghazal Excavation Reports. Retrieved from https://sites.utexas.edu/dsb/ain-ghazal/animal-figurines/
63. [19] Fiveable. (n.d.). *Archaeology of the Holy Land Unit 2 – Prehistoric Levant: Stone to Bronze Ages*. Retrieved from https://library.fiveable.me/archaeology-in-the-holy-land/unit-2
64. [2] Wikipedia. (2025, June 28). *Prehistory of the Levant*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistory_of_the_Levant
65. [78] Wikipedia. (n.d.). *Prehistoric Iberia*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistoric_Iberia
66. [79] Talalay, L. E. (2004). *Heady business: skulls, heads, and decapitation in Neolithic Anatolia*. University College

London. Retrieved from https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/1446434/1/U594428.pdf
67. [80] Bueno-Ramírez, P., et al. (2016). Bodies and identities from the Palaeolithic to the Neolithic in... Iberian Figurines. In *Mobile Images of ancestral bodies...* (pp. 25-38). Zona Arqueológica. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382651576_Bodies_and_identities_from_the_Palaeolithic_to_the_Neolithic_in_Europe_Iberian_Figurines
68. [50] Wikipedia. (2024, March 4). *Wall painting in Turkey*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wall_painting_in_Turkey
69. [51] Camurcuoglu, D. (2014). *The technological and social aspects of wall paintings at the Neolithic site of Çatalhöyük, Turkey*. University College London. Retrieved from https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/1471163/1/Camurcuoglu_compressed.pdf.%20COMPLETE.pdf
70. [46] UNESCO World Heritage Centre. (n.d.). *Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük*. Retrieved from https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1405/
71. [81] The Editors of Encyclopaedia Britannica. (n.d.). *Anatolia: The Neolithic Period*. Britannica. Retrieved from https://www.britannica.com/place/Anatolia/The-Neolithic-Period
72. [82] The Editors of Encyclopaedia Britannica. (n.d.). *Anatolian art*. Britannica. Retrieved from https://www.britannica.com/art/Anatolian-art
73. [10] Wikipedia. (2025, June 7). *Prehistory of Anatolia*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistory_of_Anatolia
74. [83] Wikipedia. (n.d.). *Art of Mesopotamia*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Art_of_Mesopotamia
75. [84] Langford, K., et al. (2024). A decorated Palaeolithic boulder from a ritual context in Bagcilar Cave, Türkiye. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 121(22). Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11665919/
76. [85] CODLRC. (n.d.). *Prehistoric and Mesopotamian*. Retrieved from https://codlrc.org/content/prehistoric-and-mesopotamian
77. [15] Department of Ancient Near Eastern Art. (2000, October). *Mesopotamia, 8000–2000 B.C.* The Metropolitan Museum of Art. Retrieved from https://www.metmuseum.org/toah/ht/02/wam.html
78. [12] Wikipedia. (2025, July 4). *Prehistory of Mesopotamia*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistory_of_Mesopotamia
79. [63] White-Levy Program for Archaeological Publications. (n.d.). *Iranian Plateau*. Harvard University. Retrieved from https://whitelevy.fas.harvard.edu/iranian-plateau

80. [26] Wikipedia. (2025, May 22).
Prehistory of Iran. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistory_of_Iran
81. [86] TV BRICS. (2025, April 24).
Prehistoric Neolithic and Chalcolithic site reveals early cultural transition in Iran. Retrieved from
https://tvbrics.com/en/news/prehistoric-neolithic-and-chalcolithic-site-reveals-early-cultural-transition-in-iran/
82. [29] The Editors of Encyclopaedia Britannica. (n.d.).
Ancient Iran. Britannica. Retrieved from https://www.britannica.com/place/ancient-Iran
83. [87] Roustaei, K., et al. (2022). The late prehistory of the northern Iranian Central Plateau (c. 6000-3000 BC): growth and collapse of decentralised networks.
Journal of World Prehistory, 35, 1-38. Retrieved from
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/365780897_The_late_prehistory_of_the_northern_Iranian_Central_Plateau_c_6000-3000_BC_growth_and_collapse_of_decentralised_networks
84. [88] Djamali, M., et al. (2015). The Iranian Plateau from Paleolithic Times to the Rise of the Achaemenid Empire. In
The Encyclopedia of the Earth's Environments. Retrieved from
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/282724174_The_Iranian_Plateau_from_Paleolithic_Times_to_the_Rise_of_the_Achaemenid_Empire
85. [52] Wikipedia. (2025, May 21).
Plastered human skulls. Retrieved from
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Plastered_human_skulls
86. [54] Ashmolean Museum. (n.d.).
JERICHO SKULL. Retrieved from https://www.ashmolean.org/jericho-skull
87. [55] Solly, M. (2023, January 23).
See the Face of a Neolithic Man Who Lived in Jericho 9,500 Years Ago. Smithsonian Magazine. Retrieved from
https://www.smithsonianmag.com/smart-news/see-the-face-of-a-neolithic-man-who-lived-in-jericho-9500-years-ago-180981426/
88. [56] British Museum. (2017, January 17).
Facing the past: the Jericho Skull. Retrieved from
https://www.britishmuseum.org/blog/facing-past-jericho-skull
89. [57] British Museum. (n.d.).
What lies beneath: new discoveries about the Jericho skull. Retrieved from
https://www.britishmuseum.org/blog/what-lies-beneath-new-discoveries-about-jericho-skull
90. [89] Schmandt-Besserat, D. (n.d.).
The Plastered Skulls. 'Ain Ghazal Excavation Reports. Retrieved from
https://sites.utexas.edu/dsb/ain-ghazal/the-plastered-skulls/
91. [58] Wikipedia. (n.d.).
Ayn Ghazal statues. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ayn_Ghazal_statues

92. [59] Museum Conservation Institute. (n.d.). *'Ain Ghazal Statues*. Smithsonian Institution. Retrieved from https://mci.si.edu/ain-ghazal-statues
93. [58] Wikipedia. (2025, May 5). *Ayn Ghazal statues*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ayn_Ghazal_statues
94. [60] Schmandt-Besserat, D. (2013). *'Ain Ghazal "Monumental" Figures: A Stylistic Analysis*. 'Ain Ghazal Excavation Reports. Retrieved from https://sites.utexas.edu/dsb/ain-ghazal/ain-ghazal-monumental-figures-a-stylistic-analysis/
95. [33] Kokkinidis, T. (2025, January 3). *Natufian People: The Pioneers of the Paleolithic to Neolithic Shift*. Greek Reporter. Retrieved from https://greekreporter.com/2025/01/03/natufian-people-paleolithic-neolithic-shift/

Works cited

1. Global Connections . Geography | PBS, accessed July 8, 2025, http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/globalconnections/mideast/themes/geography/index.html
2. Prehistory of the Levant - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistory_of_the_Levant
3. The Fertile Crescent, explained - UChicago News - The University of Chicago, accessed July 8, 2025, https://news.uchicago.edu/explainer/fertile-crescent-explained
4. Ancient Near East - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ancient_Near_East
5. A Brief Synopsis of Iranian History: From the Paleolithic to the Mongols | Milwaukee Public Museum, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.mpm.edu/index.php/research-collections/history/online-collections-research/iranian-ceramics/history
6. Expedition Magazine | Herding Strategies at Neolithic Gritille, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.penn.museum/sites/expedition/herding-strategies-at-neolithic-gritille/
7. Chalcolithic - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chalcolithic
8. Arabian Peninsula, 8000–2000 B.C. | Chronology | Heilbrunn ..., accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.metmuseum.org/toah/ht/02/wap.html
9. Craft Specialization First Appears in the Chalcolithic Period - The BAS Library, accessed July 8, 2025, https://library.biblicalarchaeology.org/sidebar/craft-specialization-first-appears-in-the-chalcolithic-period/
10. Prehistory of Anatolia - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025,

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistory_of_Anatolia
11. Natufian culture - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Natufian_culture
12. Prehistory of Mesopotamia - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistory_of_Mesopotamia
13. World Prehistory Unit 10 – Chalcolithic Era: Dawn of Metallurgy - Fiveable, accessed July 8, 2025, https://library.fiveable.me/world-prehistory/unit-10
14. A timeline of the Ancient Middle-East - Piero Scaruffi, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.scaruffi.com/politics/neareast.html
15. Mesopotamia, 8000–2000 B.C. | Chronology | Heilbrunn Timeline of ..., accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.metmuseum.org/toah/ht/02/wam.html
16. Palaeolithic Era in Iran - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Palaeolithic_Era_in_Iran
17. Paleolithic discoveries in Türkiye reveal earliest known human ..., accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.aa.com.tr/en/culture/paleolithic-discoveries-in-turkiye-reveal-earliest-known-human-activity-in-northern-mesopotamia/3619936
18. Initial Upper Paleolithic in the Zagros Mountains - Frontiers, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/earth-science/articles/10.3389/feart.2024.1352099/full
19. Prehistoric Levant: Stone to Bronze Ages | Archaeology of the Holy ..., accessed July 8, 2025, https://library.fiveable.me/archaeology-in-the-holy-land/unit-2
20. Neolithic Revolution - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neolithic_Revolution
21. Exploring Ancient Göbekli Tepe and the Dawn of Village Life in the Cradle of Civilization, accessed July 8, 2025, https://brewminate.com/exploring-ancient-gobekli-tepe-and-the-dawn-of-village-life-in-the-cradle-of-civilization/
22. Walls of Jericho | Archaeology, History, & Significance - Britannica, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/walls-of-Jericho
23. Architecture | Çatalhöyük Research Project, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.catalhoyuk.com/site/architecture
24. Acheulean - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Acheulean
25. The Paleolithic of the Iranian Plateau: Hominin occupation history and implications for human dispersals across southern Asia - Griffith Research Online, accessed July 8, 2025, https://research-repository.griffith.edu.au/items/06ba77ae-3de7-44d8-92bd-b6fcff64708b
26. Prehistory of Iran - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistory_of_Iran
27. Paleolithic occupations of the Göllü Dağ, Central Anatolia, Turkey - Taylor & Francis Online, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1179/2042458215Y.0000000020
28. The Paleolithic of the Iranian Plateau: Hominin occupation history ..., accessed

July 8, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350240414_The_Paleolithic_of_the_Iranian_Plateau_Hominin_occupation_history_and_implications_for_human_dispersals_across_southern_Asia
29. Ancient Iran | History, Map, Cities, Religion, Art, Language, & Facts | Britannica, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/ancient-Iran
30. Paleolithic discoveries in Türkiye reveal earliest known human activity in northern Mesopotamia - Kazinform, accessed July 8, 2025, https://qazinform.com/news/paleolithic-discoveries-in-trkiye-reveal-earliest-known-human-activity-in-northern-mesopotamia-973aab
31. Early humans lived on 'Persian plateau' for 20,000 years after ..., accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.livescience.com/archaeology/early-humans-lived-on-persian-plateau-for-20000-years-after-leaving-africa-study-suggests
32. (PDF) On 'sedentism' in the Later Epipalaeolithic (Natufian) Levant - ResearchGate, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/249007414_On_'sedentism'_in_the_Later_Epipalaeolithic_Natufian_Levant
33. greekreporter.com, accessed July 8, 2025, https://greekreporter.com/2025/01/03/natufian-people-paleolithic-neolithic-shift/#:~:text=Natufian%20art%20and%20symbolism&text=Animal%20figurines%20such%20as%20those,human%20figurines%20at%20Natufian%20sites.
34. Neolithic Revolution | EBSCO Research Starters, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/agriculture-and-agribusiness/neolithic-revolution
35. Göbekli Tepe - UNESCO World Heritage Centre, accessed July 8, 2025, https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1572/
36. Göbekli Tepe, Turkey - Google Arts & Culture, accessed July 8, 2025, https://artsandculture.google.com/story/g%C3%B6bekli-tepe-turkey-unesco/3wXxoXJ7tDcpLA?hl=en
37. Göbekli Tepe: The Mysterious Site Older Than Stonehenge - History.com, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.history.com/articles/gobekli-tepe
38. Göbekli Tepe - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/G%C3%B6bekli_Tepe
39. Gobekli Tepe: The World's First Temple? - Smithsonian Magazine, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.smithsonianmag.com/history/gobekli-tepe-the-worlds-first-temple-83613665/
40. Göbeklitepe: Why it is more important than the Pyramids | by Emiroglu - Medium, accessed July 8, 2025, https://medium.com/@omeremiroglu/g%C3%B6beklitepe-why-it-is-more-important-than-the-pyramids-f3a048bb8b6e
41. Middle East Timeline - Oxford Art Online, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.oxfordartonline.com/page/middle-east-timeline
42. Tower of Jericho - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025,

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tower_of_Jericho
43. Tower of Jericho - Madain Project (en), accessed July 8, 2025, https://madainproject.com/tower_of_jericho
44. The Walls Of Jericho May Be A Biblical, And Deeply Fascinating, Lie | IFLScience, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.iflscience.com/the-walls-of-jericho-may-be-a-biblical-and-deeply-fascinating-lie-68325
45. The Beauty of Uncertainty, or Why the Neolithic Tower at Jericho has not been "Solved", accessed July 8, 2025, https://girlarchaeologist.wordpress.com/2011/02/20/the-beauty-of-uncertainty-or-why-the-neolithic-tower-at-jericho-has-not-been-%E2%80%9Csolved%E2%80%9D/
46. Neolithic Site of Çatalhöyük - UNESCO World Heritage Centre, accessed July 8, 2025, https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1405/
47. Layout of the City - History of Çatalhöyük By Mia Thom 7L, accessed July 8, 2025, https://catalhoyukhistory.weebly.com/layout-of-the-city.html
48. Dwellings at Çatalhöyük - Sites at Penn State, accessed July 8, 2025, https://sites.psu.edu/zezyuspassionblog/2020/10/01/dwellings-at-catalhoyuk/
49. Dynamic Houses and Communities at Çatalhöyük: A Building Biography Approach to Prehistoric Social Structure | Cambridge Archaeological Journal, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/cambridge-archaeological-journal/article/dynamic-houses-and-communities-at-catalhoyuk-a-building-biography-approach-to-prehistoric-social-structure/F9CA5CAEED7336DF57CF2E976D90B6ED
50. Wall painting in Turkey - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wall_painting_in_Turkey
51. The Wall Paintings of Çatalhöyük (Turkey): Materials, Technologies and Artists - UCL Discovery, accessed July 8, 2025, https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/1471163/1/Camurcuoglu_compressed.pdf.%20COMPLETE.pdf
52. Plastered human skulls - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Plastered_human_skulls
53. Why ancient Mesopotamians buried their dead beneath the floor ..., accessed July 8, 2025, https://psyche.co/ideas/why-ancient-mesopotamians-buried-their-dead-beneath-the-floor
54. JERICHO SKULL - Ashmolean Museum, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.ashmolean.org/jericho-skull
55. See the Face of a Neolithic Man Who Lived in Jericho 9,500 Years Ago, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.smithsonianmag.com/smart-news/see-the-face-of-a-neolithic-man-who-lived-in-jericho-9500-years-ago-180981426/
56. Facing the past: the Jericho Skull | British Museum, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.britishmuseum.org/blog/facing-past-jericho-skull
57. What lies beneath: new discoveries about the Jericho skull | British Museum,

accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.britishmuseum.org/blog/what-lies-beneath-new-discoveries-about-jericho-skull
58. Ayn Ghazal statues - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ayn_Ghazal_statues
59. 'Ain Ghazal Statues | Museum Conservation Institute, accessed July 8, 2025, https://mci.si.edu/ain-ghazal-statues
60. 'Ain Ghazal “Monumental” Figures: A Stylistic Analysis | Denise ..., accessed July 8, 2025, https://sites.utexas.edu/dsb/ain-ghazal/ain-ghazal-monumental-figures-a-stylistic-analysis/
61. Animal Figurines | Denise Schmandt-Besserat, accessed July 8, 2025, https://sites.utexas.edu/dsb/ain-ghazal/animal-figurines/
62. Chalcolithic Period: The Beginnings of Copper Metallurgy - ThoughtCo, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.thoughtco.com/chalcolithic-period-copper-mettalurgy-170474
63. Iranian Plateau | The Shelby White and Leon Levy, accessed July 8, 2025, https://whitelevy.fas.harvard.edu/iranian-plateau
64. Hunters-Gatherers of the Levantine Epipalaeolithic: The Socioecological Origins of Sedentism | Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology - Equinox Publishing, accessed July 8, 2025, https://journal.equinoxpub.com/JMA/article/view/726
65. Abstract EGU25-7912 - Meeting Organizer, accessed July 8, 2025, https://meetingorganizer.copernicus.org/EGU25/EGU25-7912.html
66. Göbekli Tepe, Çatalhöyük, and Jericho - Kanopy, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.kanopy.com/product/gobekli-tepe-catalhoyuek-and-jericho
67. Built on Rock – Towards a Reconstruction of the ›Neolithic‹ Topography of Göbekli Tepe - iDai.publications, accessed July 8, 2025, https://publications.dainst.org/journals/istmitt/article/view/4708/8479
68. Göbekli Tepe, Çatalhöyük, and Jericho - Archaeology: An Introduction to the World's Greatest Sites (Series 1, Episode 7) - Apple TV, accessed July 8, 2025, https://tv.apple.com/gb/episode/g%C3%B6bekli-tepe-%C3%A7atalh%C3%B6y%C3%BCk-and-jericho/umc.cmc.1nyqezopm3sytoz0bnjgz4xb4
69. Preparations for a Good Burial: Funerary Art in Glencairn's Ancient Egyptian Gallery, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.glencairnmuseum.org/newsletter/2019/6/3/preparations-for-a-good-burial-funerary-art-in-glencairns-ancient-egyptian-gallery
70. Ancient Egyptian funerary practices - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ancient_Egyptian_funerary_practices
71. Levantine Archaeology - Anthropology - Oxford Bibliographies, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/abstract/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0297.xml
72. Levantine archaeology - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Levantine_archaeology
73. Ancient Jericho/Tell es-Sultan - UNESCO World Heritage Centre, accessed July 8,

2025, https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1687/
74. Archaeological and Ancient Sites (Settlements) of Anatolia Turkey ..., accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.transanatolie.com/english/turkey/anatolia/ancient%20cities/TAY/archaeological_sites.htm
75. First Evidence of Pleistocene Archaeology on the Neyshabur Plain and its Role in Reconstructing the Dispersal of Hominins on the Northeastern Iranian Plateau, accessed July 8, 2025, https://journal.archaeology.nsc.ru/jour/article/view/1283
76. Ancient West Asian Art - The Metropolitan Museum of Art, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.metmuseum.org/departments/ancient-west-asian-art
77. Chapter 3: Ancient Arts (Prehistoric, Ancient Near East, Egyptian ..., accessed July 8, 2025, https://louis.pressbooks.pub/exploringarts/chapter/approaches-to-analyzing-art/
78. Prehistoric Iberia - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prehistoric_Iberia
79. Anthropomorphic Figurines from the Neolithic and Early Bronze Age Aegean Gender Dynamics and Implications for the Understanding - UCL Discovery, accessed July 8, 2025, https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/1446434/1/U594428.pdf
80. (PDF) Bodies and identities from the Palaeolithic to the Neolithic in Europe. Iberian Figurines - ResearchGate, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382651576_Bodies_and_identities_from_the_Palaeolithic_to_the_Neolithic_in_Europe_Iberian_Figurines
81. Anatolia - Neolithic, Cultures, Archaeology | Britannica, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Anatolia/The-Neolithic-Period
82. Anatolian art and architecture | Hittite, Lycian & Greek Influences ..., accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/art/Anatolian-art
83. Art of Mesopotamia - Wikipedia, accessed July 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Art_of_Mesopotamia
84. Early human collective practices and symbolism in the Early Upper Paleolithic of Southwest Asia - PMC, accessed July 8, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11665919/
85. Prehistoric and Mesopotamian | College of DuPage Library, accessed July 8, 2025, https://codlrc.org/content/prehistoric-and-mesopotamian
86. Prehistoric Neolithic and Chalcolithic site reveals early cultural transition in Iran - TV BRICS, accessed July 8, 2025, https://tvbrics.com/en/news/prehistoric-neolithic-and-chalcolithic-site-reveals-early-cultural-transition-in-iran/
87. The late prehistory of the northern Iranian Central Plateau (c. 6000–3000 BC): growth and collapse of decentralised networks - ResearchGate, accessed July 8, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/365780897_The_late_prehistory_of_the_northern_Iranian_Central_Plateau_c_6000-3000_BC_growth_and_collapse_of_decentralised_networks
88. The Iranian Plateau from Paleolithic Times to the Rise of the Achaemenid Empire | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed July 8, 2025,

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/282724174_The_Iranian_Plateau_from_Paleolithic_Times_to_the_Rise_of_the_Achaemenid_Empire
89. The Plastered Skulls | Denise Schmandt-Besserat - University of Texas at Austin, accessed July 8, 2025, https://sites.utexas.edu/dsb/ain-ghazal/the-plastered-skulls/